

PETER SCHNEIDER,
Plaintiff,
vs.
NANCY A. BERRYHILL,
Acting Commissioner of Social Security,
Defendant.

OPINION & ORDER
[Resolving Doc. No. [1](#)]

Plaintiff Peter Schneider challenges the final decision of Defendant Nancy A. Berryhill, Acting Commissioner of Social Security, denying his applications for Period of Disability and Disability Insurance Benefits, under Title II of the Social Security Act, [42 U.S.C. §§ 416\(i\) and 423 et seq.](#)¹

The Federal Magistrates Act requires a district court to conduct a *de novo* review only of those portions of a Report and Recommendation to which the parties have made an objection.³

³ 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

Parties must file any objections to a Report and Recommendation within fourteen days of service.⁴ Failure to object within that time waives a party's right to appeal the magistrate judge's recommendation.⁵ Absent objection, a district court may adopt the magistrate judge's report without review.⁶

In this case, neither party objected to the Magistrate Judge's recommendation. Accordingly, the Court **ADOPTS** in whole Magistrate Judge Greenberg's Report and Recommendation and incorporates it fully herein by reference.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 25, 2017

s/ James S. Gwin
JAMES S. GWIN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

⁴ [Fed. R. Civ. P. 72\(a\)](#).

⁵ *Id.* See [Thomas v. Arn](#), 474 U.S. 140, 145 (1985); [United States v. Walters](#), 638 F.2d 947, 949–50 (6th Cir. 1981).

⁶ [Thomas](#), 474 U.S. at 149.